

DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION OF TAP-HOLE MUD FOR 5800 M³ LARGE SCALE BLAST FURNACE

Ping-Kun Chen Sunward Refractories Co., Ltd., Taiwan

Sep.13, 2013





Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Experimental
- 3. Results and Discussion
- 4. Conclusion









Introduction



For the demand of operation condition of Blast-Furnace ,MUD materials with the following features:

1.Can apply to alternative and continuous tapping2.Dig easily

3. High corrosion resistance to

molten iron and slag

4. Easy adhere to old material





Experiment Direction

Basic Material	BFA、CBX、SiC
Sintering Agent	Clay 、 Coke
Binder	Tar
Smelting Condition	1.Flow speed : 11~14 ton/mins.2.Tap-hole length : 3.6 meter3.Tapping time : 140 mins.









Experimental

Aim of This Study

• Development and application of tap-hole mud for the large scale blast-furnace.







Samples

Compositions of Tap-Hole Mud Samples

Formula	А	В
BFA(%)	≥12	0
CBX (%)	≥ 8	≥ 25
$Al_2O_3(\%)$	≥ 27	≥ 29
SiO ₂ (%)	≥ 9	≥11
Si ₃ N ₄ +SiC (%)	≥ 38	≥ 37
F.C (%)	≥14	≥ 12
Tar (%)	\geq	10

Samples size : 40 x 40 x 160 mm



Samples

Marshell Test

- Testing Standard : According to CSC-M09-76 testing standard.
- > Examination Temperature :
 - 50 ± 1 °C.
- As higher the Marshell test value is , as harder the material would be .







RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Testing

Aging Extruding Force







The Modulus of Rupture







The Hot Modulus of Rupture of the Three Formulas





Field Test Data



Formula	Iron production/ batch (ton)	Average tapping time (min)	Tapping length (m)	Slag/Iron ratio of tapping (%)	End tapping rate (ton/min)	Extrusion pressure (kg/cm ²)	Drill size (mm)	Average drilling time (min)
А	1334	165	3.2~3.6	91~98	12.0	240~290	55	10~12
В	1180	160	3.4~3.7	91~98	11.0	270~290	55	8~10
С	1112	150	3.8~4.0	90~98	12.0	210~240	60	10~11





Conclusion

Strength	The whole evaluation B is Better
	Uses an actual control in the 11 tons/mins.
HMOR	A and B performance to all surpass C
	Tapping time is obviously over 10% than usual
Workability	Better performance on Aging Extruding Force
	The wave of Aging Extruding Force of sample A and B is smaller than C





Conclusion

Advantage A and B all compare on operation C cost to reduce 10-15%

Sample A and B could meet the smelting requests





Thank you for your attention!



E-mail: rnd009@sunward.com.tw